PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

REC'D	0 3	NOV	2005
WIPO			DO:

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

(Chapter II of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or agent's file reference				
041346WO CS/gn	FOR FURTHER ACTION	See Form PCT/IPEA/416		
International application No. PCT/EP2004/006733	International filing date (day/month/year) 22.06.2004	Priority date (day/month/year) 23.06.2003		
International Patent Classification (IPC) or na A61K39/35, A61K38/01	ational classification and IPC			
Applicant				
BIOTECH TOOLS SA et al.				
This report is the International pre- Authority under Article 35 and trans	liminary examination report, establish smitted to the applicant according to	ed by this International Preliminary Examining		
2. This REPORT consists of a total of 5 sheets, including this cover sheet.				
3. This report is also accompanied by ANNEXES, comprising:				
a. sent to the applicant and to the International Bureau) a total of sheets, as follows:				
sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have been amended and are the basis of this report and/or sheets containing rectifications authorized by this Authority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the				
☐ sheets which supersed	le earlier shoots but which this Author	ority considers contain an amendment that goes d, as indicated in item 4 of Box No. I and the		
b. (sent to the International Bureau only) a total of (indicate type and number of electronic carrier(s)) , containing a sequence listing and/or tables related thereto, in computer readable form only, as indicated in the Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing (see Section 802 of the Administrative Instructions).				
4. This report contains indications and				
4. This report contains indications rel	ating to the following items:			
Box No. I Basis of the opin	☐ Box No. I Basis of the opinion			
☐ Box No. II Priority				
	Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability			
Lack of unity of it	Lack of unity of invention			
— internationally once	nent under Article 35(2) with regard to tions and explanations supporting suc	o novelty, inventive step or industrial ch statement		
☐ Box No. VI Certain documer	1 Certain documents cited			
Box No. VII Certain defects in	Certain defects in the international application			
☐ Box No. VIII Certain observat	ions on the international application			
Date of submission of the demand	Date of comple	tion of this report		
05.04.2005	02.11.2005	`		
Name and mailing address of the Internationa preliminary examining authority:	Authorized Office	cer		
		agreed of distances.		
European Patent Office	!	* 31 % I		
European Patent Office D-80298 Munich Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 52365 Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465	6 epmu d Ludwig, G			

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No. PCT/EP2004/006733

Box No. I Basis of the report
 With regard to the language, this report is based on the international application in the language in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item. This report is based on translations from the original language into the following language, which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of: international search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)) publication of the international application (under Rule 12.4) international preliminary examination (under Rules 55.2 and/or 55.3) With regard to the elements* of the international application, this report is based on (replacement sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to this report):
Description, Pages
1-13 as originally filed
Claims, Numbers
1-14 as originally filed
Drawings, Sheets
1/7-7/7 as originally filed
☐ a sequence listing and/or any related table(s) - see Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing 3. ☐ The amendments because it is the sequence of the s
3. ☐ The amendments have resulted in the cancellation of: ☐ the description, pages ☐ the claims, Nos. ☐ the drawings, sheets/figs ☐ the sequence listing (specify): ☐ any table(s) related to sequence listing (specify):
 4. This report has been established as if (some of) the amendments annexed to this report and listed below had not been made, since they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed, as indicated in the Supplemental Box (Rule 70.2(c)). the description, pages the claims, Nos. the drawings, sheets/figs the sequence listing (specify): any table(s) related to sequence listing (specify):
* If item 4 applies, some or all of these sheets may be marked "superseded."

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No. PCT/EP2004/006733

Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial Box No. V applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)

Yes: Claims

1-10, 11-14

No: Claims 11

Inventive step (IS)

Yes: Claims

1-10, 11-14

Claims No:

Industrial applicability (IA) Yes: Claims 1-14 (cf. separate-sheet)

No: Claims

2. Citations and explanations (Rule 70.7):

see separate sheet

Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application

The following observations on the clarity of the claims, description, and drawings or on the question whether the claims are fully supported by the description, are made:

see separate sheet

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No.

PCT/EP2004/006733

Item V:

D1: US-B1-6312711

D2: Biochemical And Biophysical Research Communications (1996), 223(3), 492-

D3: Journal Of Food Science (1988), 54(4), 1037-1039

 Oral desensitization is known from document D1 disclosing pharmaceutical or food compositions for treating pathologies related to graft versus host, allergic or autoimmune reactions. In D1 pepsin was used for digestion of the antigenic structures, to obtain the respective epitopes.

Document D2 discloses preparation of a haptenic peptide mixture (HPM) for the treatment of wheat allergy by digestion with **chymotrypsin**.

Document D3 investigates the efficiency of different peptidases in the production of allergy reducing epitopes from alpha-lactalbumin and β-lactoglobulin to be used in infant milk formula (containing potentially allergic cow milk allergenic proteins in infant food for children where mother's milk cannot be provided). **Chymotrypsin** alone was as effective as its combination with trypsin, whereas the combination of **chymotrypsin** with pepsin was most effective.

Claim 1 refers, in principle, to a pharmaceutical composition for sublingual, buccal
or enteric administration comprising an antigenic structure hydrolyzed by
chymotrypsinogen which induces graft rejection, allergic reaction or autoimmune
disease.

Such formulations which need to be in a special galenic form to be suitable for sublingual, buccal or enteric administration are not disclosed nor suggested by documents D1-D3.

3. Claim 11 claims, in essence, a composition comprising a substance obtainable by

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No.

PCT/EP2004/006733

hydrolysis with chymotrypsinogen, said substance being an antigenic structure which induces graft rejectin, allergic reaction or autoimmune disease.

Such compositions are already known from documents D1-D3.

4. For the assessment of the present claims 8-9 on the question whether they are industrially applicable, no unified criteria exist in the PCT Contracting States. The patentability can also be dependent upon the formulation of the claims. The EPO, for example, does not recognize as industrially applicable the subject-matter of claims to the use of a compound in medical treatment, but may allow, however, claims to a known compound for first use in medical treatment and the use of such a compound for the manufacture of a medicament for a new medical treatment.

Item VIII.

5. The description on page 4, para 3 is not in line with the claims ("..hydrolysis can also be performed with any other protease ...").